miRNAfe detailed feature list

Cristian A. Yones, Georgina Stegmayer, Laura Kamenetzky, and Diego H. Milone

1 Sequence

_

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Length of sequence	l		$[3]^{(ap)1}$	sequence_length	1
2. Nucleotide proportion	A%, C%, G%, U%	Ratio of each base in the sequence	$[11]^{(a)}$	nt_proportion	4
3. Dinucleotide ratio	AA%, ~~AU%, GC%, GG%	Ratio of dinucleotide elements of each kind.	$[10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)},$	dinucleotide_proportion	16
4. G+C content	-	Aggregated proportion of guanine and cytosine on the sequence $G + C_{content} = \frac{G+C}{G+C+A+U}$		gc_content	1
5. G/C ratio	-	Ratio of guanine over cytosine $G/C_{ratio} = \frac{G}{C} \label{eq:G}$	$[6]^{(a)}$	gc_ratio	1

¹the features of this reference were used in: (a) animals, (p) plants and/or (v) viruses.

2 Secondary structure

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Triplets	-	Vector of 32 elements with the triplets frequency. A triplet is an element formed with the structure composition (paired or not paired) of three adjacent nucleotides and the base of the middle. An exam- ple of these elements is ".((A", where the parenthesis represent a paired nucleotide, a dot a not paired one and the letter is the base of the middle nucleotide	$[15]^{(apv)}, [9]^{(ap)}, [3]^{(ap)}, [6]^{(a)}$	triplets	32
2. Huang elements proportion	-	This feature uses Huang's notation. It is a vector with 10 elements where each one is the proportion of a Huang element ("=- ", "==", "=:", "_", "_", "_", "_", "^", "^", "^", "::", ":^" and ":=").	$[5]^{(a)}$	huang_elements_proportion	10
3. Huang's pMatch ratio	pMatch	This feature use Huang's notation. pMatch indicates the base pairing and is calculated over putative mature miRNA, selected as the 22 nucleotide region where it is maximum.	$[5]^{(a)}$	huang_ratios	1
4. Huang's <i>pMismatch</i> ratio	pMismatch	This feature use Huang's notation and is calculated over putative mature miRNA, selected as the 22 nucleotide region where pMatch is maximum. $pMismatch$ rep- resents the frequency of non-pairing base pairs (indicated by the size of the interior loops).	$[5]^{(a)}$	huang_ratios	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
5. Huang's pDI ratio	pDI	This feature use Huang's notation and is calculated over putative mature miRNA, selected as the 22 nucleotide region where pMatch is maximum. pDI represents the deletion and insertion frequencies.	$[5]^{(a)}$	huang_ratios	1
6. Huang's pBulge ratio	pBulge	This feature use Huang's notation and is calculated over putative mature miRNA, selected as the 22 nucleotide region where pMatch is maximum. $pBulge$ indicates the symmetry of the bulged loops.	$[5]^{(a)}$	huang_ratios	1
7. Steam number	l_s	Number of stems in the secondary structure.	$ [4]^{(a)}, [13]^{(a)}, [3]^{(ap)}, $	stem_number	1
8. $A - U$ base pair proportion per stem	$A - U/N_{stems}$	Number of adenine-uracil base pair di- vided by the number of stems.		bp_proportion_stem	1
9. $G - C$ base pair proportion per stem	$G - C/N_{stems}$	Number of guanine-cytosine base pair di- vided by the number of stems.	$egin{aligned} [11]^{(a)},\ [10]^{(a)},\ [6]^{(a)},\ [14]^{(a)} \end{aligned}$	bp_proportion_stem	1
10. $G - U$ base pair proportion per stem	$G - U/N_{stems}$	Number of guanine-uracil base pair di- vided by the number of stems.	$egin{aligned} [11]^{(a)},\ [10]^{(a)},\ [6]^{(a)},\ [14]^{(a)} \end{aligned}$	bp_proportion_stem	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
11. Average base pair per stem	Avg_BP_Stem	Average of nucleotides per stem.	$egin{aligned} & [11]^{(a)}, \ & [10]^{(a)}, \ & [6]^{(a)}, \ & [14]^{(a)} \end{aligned}$	avg_bp_stem	1
12. Length of the longest stem	-	Longest region where the pairing is per- fect.	$[11]^{(a)}$	longest_stem_length	1
13. Steam region length	l_s	Number of nucleotides in the stem region of the secondary structure.	$[4]^{(a)}, [13], [3]^{(ap)}$	stem_length	1
14. Terminal loop length	l_h	Amount of nucleotides not paired in the terminal loop of the secondary structure $l_h = l - l_s. \label{eq:lh}$		terminal_loop_length	1
15. Bulges number	N_b		$[16]^{(apv)}$	bulge_number	1
16. Loop number	N_l	Total number of loops, including the ter- minal loop.	$[16]^{(apv)}, [3]^{(ap)}$	loops_number	1
17. Longest loop length	l_{ll}		$[3]^{(ap)}$	longest_loop_length	1
18. Asymmetric loops number	N_{al}		$[16]^{(apv)}$	aloops_number	1
19. Symmetric loops number	N_{sl}		$[3]^{(ap)}$	<pre>sloops_number</pre>	1
20. Nucleotides in symmetric loops	N_{nsl}		$[11]^{(a)}, \\ [3]^{(ap)},$	nt_sloops	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
21. Nucleotides in asymmetric loops	N_{nsl}		$[11]^{(a)}$	nt_aloops	1
22. Longest symmetric region	-	Length and distance to terminal loop of the symmetric region without asymmet- ric loops or bulges. The symmetric loops are allowed.	$[11]^{(a)}$	longest_simmetric_region	1
23. Average length of symmetric loops	-		$[11]^{(a)}$	avg_length_sloops	1
24. Average length of asymmetric loops	-		$[11]^{(a)}$	avg_length_aloops	1
25. Number of bulges of length 1 to 7 and >7	-	Vector with the number of bulges of length 1, 2,, 7 and greater than 7.	$[16]^{(apv)}$	nbulge_length	8
26. Number of loops of length 1 to 7 and >7	-	Vector with the number of loops of length 1, 2,, 7 and greater than 7.	$[16]^{(apv)}$	nloops_length	8
27. Base pair number	nP	Number of base pair, i.e number of paired nucleotides divided by 2	$[16]^{(apv)}$	bp_number	1
28. Adjusted base pair propension	dP	Number of base pair divided by the nucleotide number.		dP	1
29. $A - U$ pair proportion	A - U%	Proportion of adenine-uracil over the to- tal number of base pairs.	$egin{aligned} [11]^{(a)},\ [10]^{(a)},\ [6]^{(a)},\ [14]^{(a)} \end{aligned}$	bp_proportion	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
30. $G - C$ pair proportion	G-C%	Proportion of guanine-cytosine over the total number of base pairs.	$egin{aligned} & [11]^{(a)}, \ & [10]^{(a)}, \ & [6]^{(a)}, \ & [14]^{(a)} \end{aligned}$	bp_proportion	1
31. $G - U$ pair proportion	G-U%	Proportion of guanine-uracil over the to- tal number of base pairs.		bp_proportion	1
32. $G + C$ content in the terminal loop	-	Aggregated proportion of guanine and cytosine on the terminal loop.	$[3]^{(ap)}$	gc_content_loop	1
33. Reads count	-	The number of reads that match with the stem region of the analyzed sequence.	$[3]^{(ap)}$	read_count	1

3 Thermodynamic stability

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Minimum free energy	MFE	Minimum free energy obtained with the algorithm from Zuker, M. y P. Stiegler, 1981.	$ \begin{bmatrix} 11 \end{bmatrix}^{(a)}, \\ [9]^{(ap)}, \\ [5]^{(a)}, \ [3]^{(ap)} $	mfe	1
2. Ensemble free energy	EFE	Ensemble free energy obtained with the algorithm from McCaskill, 1990.	$[10]^{(a)}, [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	efe	1
3. MFE index 1	$MFEI_1$	Ratio between the minimum free energy and the G+C content.	$ \begin{matrix} [17], & [8]^{(ap)}, \\ [10]^{(a)}, & \\ [6]^{(a)}, & [14]^{(a)} \end{matrix} $	mfei1	1
4. Difference of MFE and EFE	Diff	Difference between these two values, divided by the sequence length, $Diff = \frac{MFE - EFE}{l}.$	$[10]^{(a)},$ $[6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	mfe_efe_difference	1
5. adjusted MFE	dG	Minimum free energy divided by the se- quence length.		dG	1
6. MFE index 2	$MFEI_2$	Ratio between the dG and the number of stems.	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	mfei2	1
7. MFE index 3	$MFEI_3$	Ratio between the dG and number of loops.	$ [10]^{(a)}, [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)} $	mfei3	1
8. MFE index 4	$MFEI_4$	Ratio between the dG and the G+C content.	$[10]^{(a)}, \\ [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	mfei4	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
9. Adjusted Shannon's entropy	$\mathrm{d}\mathrm{Q}$	Characterize the probability of base pair- ing in a secondary structure as a chaotic dynamic system $dQ = \frac{1}{2} \sum p_{ij} \log_2 p_{ij},$	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	dQ	1
		$\int \int \sum_{i < j} I i j O_{2} I i j j$			
		where p_{ij} is the probability of pairing of nucleotides <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> . This value is calcu- lated with the algorithm from McCaskill, 1990. Low values of dQ correspond to distributions dominated by a few bases likely to be matched. These bases are better predicted than those that have multiple alternative states.			
10. Adjusted base pair distance	dD	It is the base pair distance for all pairs of structures inferred from the sequence $dD = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i < j} p_{ij} (1 - p_{ij}),$	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}, $	dD	1
11. Ensemble frequency in the set	Freq	Obtained with the algorithm from Mc-Caskill, 1990.	$[10]^{(a)}, [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	ensemble_frequency	1
12. Set diversity	Diversity	Obtained with the algorithm from Mc-Caskill, 1990.	$[10]^{(a)}, [6]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}$	diversity	1
13. Stem 5' potential	P^L	It is the maximum probability of pairing a nucleotide with other that is on the 5' direction.	$[2]^{(a)}$	stem5_potential	Variable
		$Pl_i = \max p_{ii}$			

$$P\iota_i = \max_{j < i} p_{ij}$$

where p_{ij} is the same defined for dQ.

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
14. Stem 3' potential	P^R	It is the maximum probability of pairing a nucleotide with other than the corre- sponding in the 3' direction.	$[2]^{(a)}$	stem5_potential	Variable
		$Pl_i = \max_{j>i} p_{ij},$			
		where p_{ij} is the same defined for dQ.			
15. Loop potential	V'	It is a vector where each element mea- sures how likely a nucleotide can be part of the terminal loop	$[2]^{(a)}$	loop_potential	Variable
		$V_i' = \sum_j \omega_{i-j} \left[\sum_k p_{j-k,j+k} + p_{j-k+1,j+k} \right]$			
		where p_{ij} is the same defined for dQ and ω is a smoothing window.			

4 Statistical stability

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Standard score of the <i>MFE</i>	zMFE	Minimum free energy normalized with z-score.	$[4]^{(a)}, [2]^{(a)}$	zMFE	1
2. Standard score of the EFE	zEFE	Ensemble free energy normalized with z-score.	$[6]^{(a)}$	zEFE	1
3. Standard score of the dG	zG	Adjusted minimum free energy normal- ized with z-score.	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}, $	zG	1
4. Standard score of the Shannon's entropy	zQ	Adjusted Shannon's entropy normalized with z-score.	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}, $	zQ	1
5. Standard score of the base pair propention	zP	Base pair propention adjusted and nor- malized using z-score.	$[8]^{(ap)}, [10]^{(a)}, [14]^{(a)}, $	zP	1
6. Standard score of the base pair distance	zD	Adjusted base pair distance normalized using z-score.	$[6]^{(a)}$	zD	1
7. Monte Carlo and randomization test over MFE	pMFE	p-value of the ensemble free energy.	$[1]^{(ap)}$	pMFE	1
8. Monte Carlo and randomization test over EFE	pEFE	p-value of the minimum free energy.	$[6]^{(a)}$	PEFE	1

5 Phylogenetic conservation

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Mutation frequency	_	Number of mutation (differences) be- tween two sequences of ARN. Only ap- plicable to alignments of two sequences.	$[5]^{(a)}$	mutation_frequency	1
2. Column entropy of the 5' arm	S5'	Shannon's entropy of the 5' arm	$[4]^{(a)}$	column_entropy	1
3. Column entropy of the 3' arm	S3'	Shannon's entropy of the 3' arm	$[4]^{(a)}$	column_entropy	1
4. Column entropy of the loop region	S0	Shannon's entropy of the terminal loop	$[4]^{(a)}$	column_entropy	1
5. Minimum entropy	S_{min}	Minimum entropy calculated over a re- gion of 21 nucleotides.	$[4]^{(a)}$	column_entropy	1
6. Secondary structure differences	Vstrc	Difference between the secondary struc- tures of two sequences caused by muta- tions divided by the number of differ- ences between sequences	$[5]^{(a)}$	se_difference	1
7. Average minimum free energy	$ar{E}$	Mean of the minimum free energies of the sequences that are part of the alignment.	$[4]^{(a)}$	mean_mfe	1
8. MFE difference	VMFE	Difference between the minimum free energy of two aligned sequences divided by the number of differences between the sequences.	$[5]^{(a)}$	mfe_difference	1

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
9. Average of dG	$\overline{\epsilon}$	Mean of the adjusted minimum free energies of aligned sequences.	$[4]^{(a)}$	mean_dG	1
10. Average of $MFEI_1$	$ar\eta$	Mean of the MFE_1 of the aligned sequences.	$[4]^{(a)}$	mean_mfei1	1
11. Free energy of the consensus secondary structure	E_{cons}		$[4]^{(a)}, [7]^{(a)}$	mfe_consensus	1
12. Conservation of the 3' arm	_	Number of bases conserved in two or more sequences in the 3' arm, without the 10 first bases of the substring.	$[4]^{(a)}, [7]^{(a)}$	conservation_3	1
13. Conservation of the 5' arm	_	Number of bases conserved in two or more sequences in the 5' arm, without the 10 first bases of the substring.	$[7]^{(a)}$	conservation_5	1
14. Conservation score	CS	Conservation score of the alignment of sequences. Internally uses the software PhyloFit ² . This score is calculated using two Markov processes, one that moves in the time dimension (over the branches of the evolution tree), and the other in space dimension (over the sequence).	$[2]^{(a)}$ [12]	conservation_score	1

 $^{2} \rm http://compgen.bscb.cornell.edu/phast/index.php$

6 22-nt substring analysis

Feature name	Abbreviation	Brief description	Reference	miRNAfe function name	Vector length
1. Base pair probability	_	Sum of base-pairing probability over the substring.	$[7]^{(a)}$	ss_base_pair	Variable
2. Not paired bases	-	Sum of not paired bases on the sub- string.	$[3]^{(ap)}$	ss_not_paired	Variable
3. Extension base pair probability	_	Sum of base-pairing probability on the secondary structure, without probabilities of the nucleotides on the substring.	$[7]^{(a)}$	ss_extension_base_pair	Variable
4. Bulge symmetry	_	The difference between the amount of not paired bases on each arm of the sub- string.	$[7]^{(a)}$	ss_bulge_simetry	Variable
5. Terminal loop distance	_	Distance from the substring to the ter- minal loop.	$[7]^{(a)}, [13]^{(a)}$	ss_loop_distance	Variable

miRNAfe validation of feature extraction processes Cristian A. Yones, Georgina Stegmayer, Laura Kamenetzky, and Diego H. Milone

In the next table, the software used for comparisons and their corresponding references are presented.

Feature	Software used	
Triplets	MiRFinder [5]	
Huang ratios	MiRFinder [5]	
Huang elements proportion	MiRFinder [5]	
$G + C_{content}$	microPred [10]	
Dinucleotide proportion	microPred [10]	
$MFEI_1$	genRNAStats and RNAspectral of miPred [6]	
$MFEI_2$	genRNAStats and RNAspectral of miPred [6]	
$MFEI_3$	microPred [10]	
$MFEI_4$	genRNAStats and RNAspectral of miPred [6]	
MFE difference	MiRFinder [5]	
Secondary structure difference	MiRFinder [5]	
Mutation frequency	MiRFinder [5]	
zMFE	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
zEFE	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
zQ	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
zP	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
zG	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
zD	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
pEFE	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	
pMFE	genRandomRNA of miPred [6]	

References

- [1] Bonnet, E, J Wuyts, P Rouzé, and Y Van de Peer: Evidence that microRNA precursors, unlike other non-coding RNAs, have lower folding free energies than random sequences. Bioinformatics, 20 (17):2911–2917, 2004.
- [2] Goro, T, K Takashi, A Kiyoshi, and K Taishin: mirrim: A novel system to find conserved miRNAs with high sensitivity and specificity. RNA, 13 (12):2081–2090, 2007.
- [3] Hackenberg, M, M Sturm, and D Langenberger: miRanalyzer: a microRNA detection and analysis tool for next-generation sequencing experiments. Nucleic Acids Research, 37:68–76, 2009.
- [4] Hertel, J and PF Stadler: Hairpins in a haystack: recognizing microRNA precursors in comparative genomics data. Bioinformatics, 22 (14):e197-e202, 2006.
- [5] Huang, TH, B Fan, M Rothschild, ZL Hu, K Li, and SH Zhao: MiRFinder: an improved approach and software implementation for genome-wide fast microRNA precursor scans. BMC Bioinformatics, 8(1):341, 2007.
- [6] Jiandong, D, Z Shuigeng, and G Jihong: MirenSVM: towards better prediction of microRNA precursors using an ensemble SVM classifier with multi-loop features. BMC Bioinformatics, 11 (11):11, 2010.
- [7] Lim, LP, NC Lau, EG Weinstein, A Abdelhakim, S Yekta, MW Rhoades, CB Burge, and DP Bartel: The microRNAs of caenorhabditis elegans. Genes & development, 17(8):991–1008, 2003.
- [8] Ng, KLS and SK Mishra: De novo SVM classification of precursor microRNAs from genomic pseudo hairpins using global and intrinsic folding measures. Bioinformatics, 23(11):1321-30, 2007.
- [9] Peng, J, W Haonan, W Wenkai, M Wei, S Xiao, and L Zuhong: MiPred: classification of real and pseudo microRNA precursors using random forest prediction model with combined features. Nucleic Acids Research, 35:339–44, 2007.
- [10] Rukshan, B and P Vasile: micropred: effective classification of pre-miRNAs for human miRNA gene prediction. Bioinformatics, 25(8):989–995, 2009.
- [11] Sewer, A, N Paul, P Landgraf, A Aravin, S Pfeffer, MJ Brownstein, T Tuschl, E van Nimwegen, and M Zavolan: Identification of clustered microRNAs using an ab initio prediction method. BMC Bioinformatics, 6:267, 2005.
- [12] Siepel, A and D Haussler: Phylogenetic hidden Markov models. In In statistical methods in molecular evolution, pages 325–351. Springer, 2005.
- [13] Snorre, AH, S Ola, and S Pal: Reliable prediction of drosha processing sites improves microRNA gene prediction. Bioinformatics, 23(2):142–149, 2007.
- [14] Xuan, P, MZ Guo, J Wang, CY Wang, XY Liu, and Y Liu: Genetic algorithm-based efficient feature selection for classification of pre-miRNAs. Genet. Mol. Res., 10 (2):588–603, 2011.
- [15] Xue, C, F Li, T He, GP Liu, Y Li, and X Zhang: Classification of real and pseudo microRNA precursors using local structure-sequence features and support vector machine. BMC Bioinformatics, 6(1):310, 2005.
- [16] Yousef, M, M Nebozhyn, H Shatkay, S Kanterakis, LC Showe, and MK Showe: Combining multi-species genomic data for microRNA identification using a naive bayes classifier. Bioinformatics, 22 (11):1325–1334, 2006.
- [17] Zhang, BH, XP Pan, SB Cox, GP Cobb, and TA Anderson: Evidence that miRNAs are different from other RNAs. Cell. Mol. Life Sci., 63(2):46–254, 2006.